

Page 3 1 HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 23-01138-m Celsius Network 2 Limited v. StakeHound SA 3 Hybrid Hearing RE: Emergency Motion for Amendment of Order 4 Granting TRO (the "Motion"). (Doc## 67, 68) 5 6 HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 23-01138-mg Celsius Network 7 Limited v. StakeHound S A Hybrid Hearing RE: Motion to Amend Emergency Motion Of 8 StakeHound S A For Amendment Of Order 9 Granting TRO. (Doc## 74, 75) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	1 9 4 01 33
	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtor/Plaintiff
5	One Bryant Park
6	New York, NY 10036
7	
8	BY: MITCHELL HURLEY
9	
10	LOCKE LORD LLP
11	Attorneys for the Defendant
12	200 Vesey Street, 20th Floor
13	New York, NY 10281
14	
15	BY: MARY STEPHANIE WICKOUSKI
16	
17	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
18	RICK ARCHER
19	JASMINE ARMAND
20	DEAN CHAPMAN
21	AARON COLODNY
22	SEAN ANDREW FEENER
23	UDAY GORREPATI
24	MIRA HAQQANI
25	TAYLOR HARRISON

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 5
1	SAMUEL P. HERSHEY	
2	JEFFREY S. KRAMER	
3	NICHOLAS LOMBARDI	
4	MASON PALISSERY	
5	GREGORY F. PESCE	
6	ELIZABETH SCOTT	
7	LUKE SPANGLER	
8	MICHAEL STANLEY	
9	VINCE SULLIVAN	
10	DAVID TURETSKY	
11	KEITH WOFFORD	
12	KAILA ZAHARIS	
13	TANZILA ZOMO	
14	PHILIP ABELSON	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

Page 6 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CLERK: All right. Calling Celsius Network Limited v. StakeHound SA, Case Number 23-1138, the 3:00 3 calendar. Ms. Wickouski, if you could please give your 4 5 appearance. 6 MS. WICKOUSKI: Good afternoon, Ms. Anderson. 7 Stephanie Wickouski, from Locke Lord, on behalf of 8 StakeHound SA. And I'm here with my colleague Jeffrey 9 Kramer, also from the firm of Locke Lord. 10 Thank you so much. Do we have any 11 additional counsel in the Courtroom? 12 MR. HURLEY: Yes. Good afternoon. Mitch Hurley 13 with Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, special litigation 14 counsel for Celsius. 15 CLERK: All right. Thank you. 16 MR. HURLEY: Thank you. 17 CLERK: All right. Are there any parties on Zoom 18 that are speaking on the record this afternoon? If so, 19 raise your hands using the Zoom function, raise hand 20 function, and I will ask you to unmute and give your appearance. Okay. Recording. 21 22 For the parties that have joined, is there anyone else in the Courtroom that's going to be speaking on the 23 24 record? Is there anyone else on Zoom that's going to be 25 speaking on the record? Can we proceed with the hearing, or

Page 7 1 are we waiting on anyone? 2 MR. HURLEY: Celsius is ready. 3 CLERK: Okay. 4 MS. WICKOUSKI: We're ready also. 5 CLERK: Okay. Thank you. I'll let the Judge 6 know. 7 THE COURT: Please be seated. Just give me a 8 moment. All right, why don't you first give me an update on 9 where things stand? I guess, Ms. Wickouski, you want to go 10 first. 11 MS. WICKOUSKI: Thank you, Your Honor. First of 12 all, I want to thank the Court for allowing us and expedited 13 hearing on our motion. In terms of update, we have not -- I 14 think we're still in the same place with respect to our 15 request for modification of the TRO, except for one thing, 16 which is at the time we submitted our motion, we were not 17 aware that the hearing on the 27th may be adjourned. 18 Once we saw that with Mr. Hurley's request on the 19 docket, as Your Honor knows, we consented to the request. 20 But we really did need to update our numbers because, 21 assuming the Court allows the adjournment, that's going to 22 be a somewhat longer period of time that we wanted to try to 23 address. I realized that -- well, we did file sources and 24 25 uses early this afternoon. I apologize for the lateness of

this being filed. However, there's been a number of incomings, including Mr. Hurley's letter that he filed with the Court, the letter he sent to me on Friday, as well as the opposition filed this morning that stated that we hadn't been specific or our numbers weren't clear, or we didn't have enough detail.

And so I thought it was best for our client to present this in a format that most of us are used to seeing, which is sources and uses. There are a couple of things that are interesting about that. One is that I think it shows -- and this point has, I think, gotten lost in the translation -- that there is actually revenue being generated that has been generated that is on hand, as well as assets that are not in dispute, including primarily StakeHound' bank account.

And because the way we read the order as drafted, it was our belief that we were not allowed to touch any of that, only \$200,000 of the subject assets. So we proceeded under that assumption. But one of the things that we're asking is for clarification on that point. And if that was what was intended, we're asking the Court to modify it. And we've specifically presented why we need the money, specifically what's involved and the impact on the StakeHound assets.

And you know, one thing I would note is to

quantify this, this really doesn't have a noticeable effect on the StakeHound estate or recovery. Even if one were to assume that the Celsius -- even if one were to assume that Celsius wins on its claim, gets a judgment, and somehow has -- that results in \$1 million or half a million dollars less than what they would have recovered otherwise, that amount does not move the needle in this bankruptcy case. And that's demonstrated clearly by the numbers in their disclosure statement.

By my calculations -- and I'm no math genius here
-- but that would result in one cent for every \$10,000.

That's -- not only is that material, that's not even
noticeable. And I think that the relative size of this
expenditure does not wreak any irreparable harm on the

Debtor, but to the extent there is any harm, it has to be
balanced with the harm that StakeHound faces if its
operations shut down. And you know --

THE COURT: You know, my answer to that is it may translate into pennies for the estate. But if you owe them \$100 million, it's \$100 million. That's a lot of money, to me. So I don't credit your analysis of why you say it's not material. It's a lot of money. Maybe you're right, maybe they're right, but it's a lot of money.

MS. WICKOUSKI: Well, I think that there's another factor here, which is the amount -- not only is the amount

that we are asking to be able to spend not material, even assuming the Debtor recovered it, but the amount is not likely to result in a diminution of the assets of StakeHound. It's likely to result in preservation and even enhancement of them.

And I appreciate that this is relief that we're asking that's discretionary with the Court. The Court has entered a TRO. We first of all want to know that we're reading it properly, but we also --

THE COURT: I have some question whether -- I'm going to have questions, first to Mr. Hurley. When I read his filing, which sort of sets out -- I'll hear from him first and then you'll have a chance to respond to it -- the amount that it appears that StakeHound has the amounts it's used paid since the voluntary freeze and since the TRO seemed rather staggering to me. It raised -- you know, I'm not jumping to any conclusions yet, but it raised some pretty serious questions to me.

MS. WICKOUSKI: I don't think that -- I don't think that that's accurate. I think that the amounts that were utilized were from the Debtor's bank account and not from the assets that we agreed to freeze. And I think --

THE COURT: Hang on a second, okay? The TRO, the operative part of the TRO, was the order entered on September 8th. In bold and all caps on page 12, "StakeHound

and all persons acting in concert with StakeHound are here are prohibited and preliminary enjoined from transferring any assets or property within StakeHound's possession, custody or control to any person or entity, pending the outcome of the preliminary injunction hearing." And it goes on from there.

You know, then the last sentence also in bold and all caps, "Notwithstanding the terms of the TRO StakeHound shall be permitted to spend \$200,000 in money or money's worth of the subject assets between now and the PI hearing." So \$200,000 from the subject assets. But the freeze was from transferring any assets or property within StakeHound's possession, custody, or control to any person or entity. Where you think it -- they were free to transfer money from their bank accounts?

MS. WICKOUSKI: No, that --

THE COURT: They're not.

MS. WICKOUSKI: That didn't -- that's correct.

That did not occur since this TRO was entered. And this is demonstrated by our sources or uses. Only \$161,000 was utilized. StakeHound has not even utilized the full \$200,000. I believe what Mr. Hurley incorrectly refers to is the fact that while we were in a voluntary freeze, which Mr. Hurley negotiated --

THE COURT: Right. I have that stipulation and

Page 12 1 that says in Paragraph 1 -- leave some language out --2 StakeHound will not sell or transfer or otherwise dispose of tokens, either ETH, DOT and MATIC, and associated rewards 3 that are subject to this litigation -- that's the subject 4 5 property -- subject to notice of opportunity. 6 MS. WICKOUSKI: That's correct. 7 THE COURT: It is correct that that language 8 doesn't say anything about the bank accounts. 9 MS. WICKOUSKI: That is correct. And that is what 10 we agreed to and that was abided by. There was, to my 11 knowledge, I believe -- and don't have a witness here for this -- but around \$1 million in a bank account that was not 12 13 -- you know, not related to this. 14 THE COURT: When you say not related to this, was 15 not subject to the voluntary --16 MS. WICKOUSKI: No, not subject to --17 THE COURT: -- freeze that was in that 18 stipulation? 19 MS. WICKOUSKI: That's correct. It was not 20 tokens. It was not rewards. 21 THE COURT: Okay. And since the TRO, you're 22 saying they've not -- other than the \$200,000 carveout, 23 nothing else has been spent from any of the assets of StakeHound? 24 25 MS. WICKOUSKI: That's correct. That's correct.

Page 13 And part of the -- I suppose that because there is a difference between what we agreed to in the voluntary freeze and what Celsius asked for in the TRO and the language of the TRO, I think it's fair to say we had a question in terms of whether the scope of this was intended, but we were not going to act without asking Your Honor. THE COURT: My intention was all -- they were not to transfer any assets other than the 200 from any accounts. MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes. Well, and that's what we did. THE COURT: Other than the two -- I gave you the \$200,000 --MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes. THE COURT: -- carveout, which Celsius objected to a carveout, but I approved the carveout. MS. WICKOUSKI: I understand, Your Honor, and that's what we had -- that's how we read it and that's what we have abided by. What we're asking today, and we wanted to illustrate this, that the -- and dollars don't have faces on them in the sense that what our client is concerned about is being able to pay their necessary bills, and they don't like to have to pick and choose between vendors to pay, and they're going to run out of money. So, if the Court were inclined to grant the relief

with respect to the bank account being used, or to raise the

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 14 carveout an incremental \$300,000, you know, we're not 1 2 suggesting how the Court grants this relief. What we do 3 want is an accommodation so we can get through to the next 4 hearing. 5 THE COURT: Can I ask a couple of questions? So I 6 think in what I read it alluded to the fact that there's a mediation plan? When is that? 7 8 MS. WICKOUSKI: Well, Your Honor, we did want to 9 discuss that, because when I saw Mr. Hurley's letter asking 10 for a continuance, I immediately consulted our client and 11 obviously recommended to them that we agree, but also 12 discussed with them the prospect that we use the additional 13 time to pursue a mediation. 14 So, Mr. Hurley and I have not discussed that. I 15 don't know what the Debtor's response to that is, but we're 16 prepared to talk about that today. 17 THE COURT: So that hasn't been agreed to? 18 MS. WICKOUSKI: Hasn't. Has not been agreed to. 19 It would be my hope that if the Debtor were agreeable and 20 Your Honor allowed it, that we could go right away to 21 discuss the selection of a mediator, who --22 THE COURT: Let me raise a very practical problem, because I think Mr. Hurley, I think, in a letter had 23 24 suggested adjourning it, I think until October 10th or 11th, 25 or something like that.

Page 15 1 MR. HURLEY: 11th, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: It doesn't work. As you know, Mr. 3 Hurley, the Celsius confirmation hearing starts on October 4 It's not going on that week. That's because I'm out of 5 town. 6 MS. WICKOUSKI: Mm hmm. 7 THE COURT: I'm not here. So, I will tell you 8 all, in reviewing my calendar, it either goes forward on September 27th or Wednesday, October 25th. 9 10 MS. WICKOUSKI: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I didn't 11 hear. THE COURT: Wednesday, October 25th. So the 12 13 Celsius confirmation hearing starts at 2:00 on the 2nd, 14 Monday the 2nd. And we're off the week of the 9th because I 15 was going to be away before this hearing was scheduled. 16 then we come back and we resume the confirmation. Whether 17 it's going to take the whole time, I don't know. Okay? 18 Those dates have been blocked on my calendar. In order -- and I have, like, the whole month of 19 20 November in trial. I can't, you know, hold off the rest of my calendar for two months. So we've been scheduling -- you 21 22 just heard me schedule a hearing for noon during the 23 confirmation because, you know, I've been scheduling hearings for 5:00. I can't schedule an evidentiary hearing 24 25 for 5:00.

Page 16 1 MS. WICKOUSKI: Mm hmm. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So realistically, as of now, I 3 mean, it's possible the confirmation hearing will end sooner. But right now when I looked at my calendar earlier 4 5 this morning, the first day -- I have matters on the 25th I 6 could move -- would be Wednesday, October 25th. That's 7 number one. 8 MS. WICKOUSKI: Understood. And Your Honor --9 just to be clear, I mean, we are ready to go forward next 10 week. 11 THE COURT: So am I, or I will be. I'm not ready 12 yet, but I will be. 13 MS. WICKOUSKI: I feel that it was -- when someone asks for a continuance, particularly when they're 14 15 representing --16 THE COURT: Well, they didn't just ask for a 17 continuance. Let's be very clear about that. And if I have to address that now, I will. You know, Mr. Hurley has --18 19 you may -- I'm not saying that, you know, what he says is, 20 you know, is the actual -- the facts or the Court would find 21 that. But he certainly raised in his letter serious issues 22 about discovery and, you know, you responded on that. 23 But let me say that if we go forward on the 27th and Mr. Hurley (indiscernible) on evidence of the failure of 24 25 StakeHound reasonably to comply with discovery, I am going

to make adverse findings based on that. This hearing has been scheduled. You all knew it was on a very expedited basis. It puts quite seriously unreasonable burdens on you and me and your clients. That's what happens with a preliminary injunction.

But if in fact we go -- if we have the hearing on the 27th and Mr. Hurley establishes at least what he alleges about the absence of discovery, the importance of the discovery, I will make adverse findings against StakeHound.

 ${\tt MS.}$  WICKOUSKI: I understand, Your Honor, and --

THE COURT: But that's --

MS. WICKOUSKI: -- I strongly disagree with the characterizations.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not --

MS. WICKOUSKI: And we -- my colleague, Jeffrey Kramer, who's been the point person on the discovery in charge of that, can speak to that very directly. It was completely miscast.

And I must say this, even though I realize it's a little bit of putting a pin in this and going on to the another issue, I did note that Mr. Hurley submitted his September 15th letter that was filed on Friday shortly before I filed this motion. But he neglected to include my response, which was sent the next day. And I think to the extent that the Court considers that letter in any respect,

Page 18 1 I would ask for the opportunity for the Court to consider my 2 response as well. 3 THE COURT: Let me make clear, I am not deciding anything on those letters. Okay? It raised a -- and I 4 5 don't doubt that you'll have a response to it. The only point I'm making is that that if we go forward on the 27th 7 and there's been a material deficiency in the discovery that 8 reasonably was asked of StakeHound, I will make adverse 9 findings based on it. I mean, the plaintiff is entitled to 10 be able to prove his case. And to be able to do that, was 11 entitled to discovery. Let me put that -- I don't want to 12 go further on that issue now. I just --13 MS. WICKOUSKI: Well --14 THE COURT: -- this gets complicated, okay? 15 Because --16 MS. WICKOUSKI: I understand and I understand the 17 18 THE COURT: You agree --19 MS. WICKOUSKI: -- the importance of the 20 exclusion. 21 THE COURT: You would agree to an adjournment? 22 MS. WICKOUSKI: Yeah. 23 THE COURT: I can't do a hearing on the date that 24 Mister Hurley suggested. I'm not here. 25 MS. WICKOUSKI: Well, and Your Honor, we've

Page 19 1 propounded discovery as well. I think our discovery is more 2 relevant to the issues, more targeted, but I'm sure that 3 Celsius --4 THE COURT: Why am I not surprised you're saying 5 that? 6 MS. WICKOUSKI: I'm sure Celsius would disagree, 7 and I think we would intend to work all of these issues out 8 with them. We're trying very hard. We're in the mode and 9 have been for -- I mean, there are four associates who would 10 strongly disagree with the suggestion that we weren't 11 participating in discovery. They feel like they're 12 participating a whole heck of a lot. 13 So it would not be -- I'm -- as you know, been 14 practicing a long time and I've sought preclusion orders 15 myself. So I take this whole discovery process very 16 seriously, and I react when someone doesn't comply with 17 discovery. We have no intention of being in that position because that's not who we are. And if Your Honor wants to 18 19 hear more details --20 THE COURT: Let me just -- I'm just curious what -- are you sending the associates to Switzerland --21 22 MS. WICKOUSKI: No. THE COURT: No, I mean, I'm not saying that to be 23 flippant. There isn't -- look, I practiced law for 34 years 24 25 before I became a judge, and you know, there are a whole

Page 20 1 bunch of written opinions in the Southern District of New 2 York on spoliation. 3 MS. WICKOUSKI: Mm hmm. THE COURT: Lawyers' obligations have only gotten 4 5 much more complicated, you know, particularly where you're 6 dealing with foreign clients --7 MS. WICKOUSKI: Mm hmm. 8 THE COURT: -- as you are here. You know, you and 9 they are operating at their own risk. If you leave your 10 client to decide what it -- I'll say it instead of the he --11 I know it talks about an individual -- what it believes is 12 relevant and should be produced, or what searches are 13 required to be made. 14 MS. WICKOUSKI: We didn't do that. 15 THE COURT: Clients don't get to do that. 16 MS. WICKOUSKI: We did not do that. And we have 17 spent a lot of time with our client getting them to --18 explaining to them what was necessary and getting the whole 19 universe --20 THE COURT: They're aghast at what the discovery 21 process in the United States is. You know --22 MS. WICKOUSKI: Well, it's --23 THE COURT: (indiscernible) 24 MS. WICKOUSKI: These are not lawyers. 25 THE COURT: I know.

Page 21 1 MS. WICKOUSKI: They're tech people. This is the 2 first time they've been through a U.S. legal process. 3 it's not correct to say that we are turning over documents that are only what our client culled out. We're going 4 5 through the universe of documents. Hence, my point about my 6 associates, who were working night and day on this. 7 And, you know, frankly, I think given the amount 8 that needs to be done, I can't really quibble over the fact 9 that both sides would probably benefit from more time. You 10 know, I had originally seen this as a much more targeted 11 dispute in terms of the arbitrability issue. It's not even 12 immediately obvious to me why there would need to be any 13 discovery. Yet, that has been pursued and we're responding 14 to it fully. 15 But I really question why that's being done when 16 it's not really obvious why it's necessary to decide the 17 pending motions. But still, withstanding that --THE COURT: Well, let me just ask you a question--18 MS. WICKOUSKI: -- a request is a request. 19 20 THE COURT: -- because -- so, am I correct that 21 you've withdrawn the motion to dismiss? 22 MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. We have --23 we withdrew that last week. 24 THE COURT: Right.

MS. WICKOUSKI: And --

Page 22 1 THE COURT: And so, what I'm faced with for the 2 27th or whenever it's rescheduled for, is the motion for 3 preliminary injunction and your motion to compel arbitration? 4 5 MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me -- because I think 7 it's relevant to the scheduling issue, let me ask, Mr. 8 Hurley, what is Celsius' view about mediation? 9 MR. HURLEY: May I have the podium, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yeah, please. 11 MR. HURLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Mitch Hurley, 12 with Akin Gump, special litigation counsel to Celsius, for 13 the record. 14 THE COURT: Do you want to introduce your 15 colleagues too? 16 MR. HURLEY: Absolutely. My partner, Dean 17 Chapman, and my colleague Nick Lombardi, who I believe is 18 familiar to this Court. THE COURT: Well, that's -- the reason I ask you 19 20 to do that is because I always make a disclosure any time 21 one of my former law clerks appears before me. Mr. Lombardi 22 is one of my former law clerks. How many years ago, 23 Nicholas? 24 MR. LOMBARDI: Six years, Your Honor. Six years. 25 THE COURT: Good to see you. But I always --

Page 23 1 whenever one of my former law clerks is appearing before me, 2 I want to make sure that that's on the record. Okay. 3 ahead, Mr. Hurley. 4 MR. HURLEY: Okay. So, Your Honor, let me say with respect to mediation, first of all, that as I think the 5 6 Court is aware, Celsius has been keen from the very 7 beginning to try to get settlement discussions going, with a 8 lot in the record about that going all the way back to 9 April. 10 We were in this Courtroom on September 7th. You 11 looked us both in the eyes and said, see if you can settle--THE COURT: Well, we're here today. But what --12 13 let's put aside --14 MR. HURLEY: Okay. Fair enough. 15 THE COURT: -- what past history is and let's talk 16 about today. 17 MR. HURLEY: We're only trying to make the point 18 that Celsius has been keen on that from the beginning and 19 remains so. With respect to mediation itself, it can be 20 very effective. It can also result in delays. It can be 21 expensive. It can slow things down. 22 So from our perspective, while we think mediation 23 makes sense, especially if we get the right mediator for it, it would be very important from our perspective for it to 24 25 continue alongside the other proceedings in parallel,

23-01138-mg Doc 101 Filed 10/20/23 Entered 10/20/23 16:36:53 Main Document Pg 24 of 55 Page 24 1 especially discovery. And I have to address very briefly 2 some stuff on discovery. We obviously did send a letter asking for a conference on this, so I think it's fair for me 3 to do a bit on it. I won't belabor it. 4 5 So first of all, you just heard Ms. Wickouski say 6 she disregards -- that she doesn't agree with any of my 7 characterizations. Okay. There's some facts she can't deny. We served our discovery on September 4th. We have 8 9 not gotten one page. Okay. She says in her letter to you 10 yesterday, this is in Paragraph 2, the last sentence. 11 THE COURT: Look --12 MR. HURLEY: I just -- I --13 THE COURT: Let me stop you, because I read the 14 letter. I read --15 MR. HURLEY: Okay. 16 THE COURT: -- her letter. I meant, read Ms.

Wickouski's letter. I understand and I -- if I have to resolve discovery disputes, I have a procedure for doing that. That's not right at this moment.

MR. HURLEY: I understand. This point though, Your Honor, I think is critical to the scheduling question and whether or not it's realistic to go forward on the 27th.

THE COURT: That's why I started by saying that if we have -- if we go forward on the 27th, I'm going to permit you to put on, you know, under oath proof with regard to

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

discovery. And if I find material inadequacy, failure to provide the discovery, I'm going to make adverse findings for the preliminary injunction.

I'm not saying that as a threat. I'm just, it's just a fact. But I don't consider, with all due respect, I don't consider the letters from either of you as proof of it. Okay. I don't want -- I'm not delving into the details of, you know, what was done, what was not done, whether a single page was produced or not produced and why and all of that now. Okay. But I'm just -- I raised the issues because, one, the adjournment raises real scheduling issues for me.

Okay, and I -- when I told you that the first date that I could do an adjourned injunction hearing is October 25th, that's just the reality of it. You'll either -- when I say you, I'm talking about both of you and your clients -- will agree to mediation, which has to happen promptly.

Let's put it this way. If we adjourn the hearing to October 25th, I'm not staying discovery. We will push forward and if, you know what I hope would happen -- let's assume Ms.

Wickouski, that you're successful in your motion to compel arbitration.

You're then, your client is looking at -- because

I assume if Celsius is compelled to arbitrate, they will

aggressively and it's going to be expensive for both sides.

Okay, and it's going to take time. I don't know what an -got a single arbitrator as of now? I mean, it's possible
that the result is that I order arbitration but -- or that
you vacate the arbitration that was demanded and you start
over again because it violated the automatic stay and all
that.

But let's assume the arbitration goes forward. It isn't going to happen instantly and there's going to be expense. And I -- and the time I issued the TRO, I know I said at that point -- and I'm not ruling on this, but there's certainly the possibility that what the Court does is issue a preliminary injunction until the arbitrator has a chance to rule on precautionary measures. And I've had that happen in cases before and in fact, they read -- you know, it's not a crypto case like this.

It's not, you know, not the same issues, but yes, that's what -- arbitrators do that. Okay. And you can disagree, but, you know, I think Mr. Hurley made a substantial showing that they were entitled to the relief and they'll make that, you know, they'll certainly attempt to make that showing before the arbitrator or not. So -- but from the standpoint of Celsius, I'm not sure where this whole process gets you.

You know, Mr. Hurley, I think the first time you appeared in Celsius before me was in a prior adversary

proceeding and we know what happened there when the counterparty filed for bankruptcy. You know, every time I read something about StakeHound's financial position, press as hard as you want and it may wind up with an insolvency proceeding in Switzerland. So it seems to me the stakes are high for both sides.

And what would make good sense to me is not stopping the litigation but make good sense to you, that you and your clients promptly agree on a mediator and try and resolve this whole dispute because it's either before me or before an arbitrator. It's going to go on for months at great expense to everybody. I don't think that's in either side's interest here.

Let me just say this as well and then you may well disagree with this Mr. Hurley, but I think you and your client need to agree on a sufficient, I'll call it a lifeline to keep StakeHound going until operating, not filing for insolvency, until we get to a preliminary injunction hearing or you get to a settlement. You know, it may be Celsius' view that StakeHound and StakeHound alone is responsible to Celsius for whatever loss occurred because of Fireblocks.

But you know, and you may not want to see counsel paid for pursuing their claim in Israel on it. But you know, it just strikes me that a disposition, a settlement in

this case may include either assignment of the claims or assignment of the recovery in that, you know, the amount of the claim that you believe Celsius has vastly exceeds

StakeHound's assets and it clearly is in Celsius' best interest for StakeHound to recover in the proceeding in Israel. It isn't going to happen for free.

So all I can do is decide the matters that are before me. Okay, and if you all want to go ahead on the 27th, you'll go ahead on the 27th and you'll add to what evidence you were going to put on to deal with the inadequacies of StakeHound's responses to discovery and whatever. And we'll do -- we'll have a hearing and I'll rule, okay. Not necessarily from the bench, but I'll rule. I don't let things linger.

But I'm not sure where that's going to get you at the end of the day, okay, so, okay, you get a preliminary injunction and I will probably not rule from the bench, but I've got -- you know, I thought that StakeHound's argument for arbitration because I've dealt with arbitration a lot, these issues recently -- I'm not saying they're going to win, but I'm not saying they're going to lose either. And one way or the other, where that's going to leave Celsius is litigating here, litigating in Switzerland for potentially a long time.

Sure, you can settle somewhere down the road, but

Page 29 1 to me, it just makes a lot more sense to put all of your 2 effort immediately into trying to get this resolved and I 3 think, you know, your -- Celsius position, I'm not saying it's unreasonable but not a penny for lawyers, for their 4 lawyers. I don't know what the actual status of the matter 5 in Israel is and everything. But frankly, I think it's in 7 Celsius' interest that matter go as quickly as possible as -- with as strong a showing as possible and so that -- how 8 9 much -- Ms. Wickouski, how much was lost in -- by losing the 10 private key? 11 MR. HURLEY: The ETH that we provided was about 12 35,000 and there were rewards. I think it was in the 38,000 13 ETH range. Very valuable. 14 THE COURT: That --15 MR. HURLEY: Very valuable. 16 THE COURT: -- translated into dollars? 17 MR. HURLEY: Changes every day, but 70 million 18 recently. 19 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Wickouski, go ahead. 20 You want to say something? 21 MS. WICKOUSKI: Can we -- there is also a loss of 22 business claim that StakeHound has against Fireblocks because StakeHound, I think this was actually in 23 24 (indiscernible) declaration, was the original developer of 25 this liquid staking model and technology and they were very

Page 30 1 positioned to be a major player in this business, but this 2 cast such a pall on their business and their opportunities, there is a substantial claim there and I think that that 3 claim --4 5 THE COURT: Okay --6 MS. WICKOUSKI: What I'm --7 THE COURT: I'm sure Celsius evaluates --8 MS. WICKOUSKI: Well --9 THE COURT: Has considered it and evaluated as 10 well. 11 MS. WICKOUSKI: And we have --THE COURT: Let --12 13 MS. WICKOUSKI: We have experts that would be 14 recognized even by our opposing counsel and our adversary as 15 being competent in the field to assess damages. We didn't 16 want to disclose who they are, but we can do that. And my 17 point being that this isn't just something written on a gum 18 wrapper. This is a real asset that could (indiscernible) to 19 the benefit of my client and Mr. Hurley's client and it's 20 something that I think really warrants a discussion in the 21 mediation process, but we have to have some ability to get 22 from here to there. I think that the -- obviously it's in -23 - it's up to the Court's schedule as to when a continuance 24 would be, we'll react --25 I'm -- that I made clear. It's either THE COURT:

the September 27th or October 25th. One or the other. I mean, I can go further than -- actually, I can't because November, I've got this long trial.

MS. WICKOUSKI: And we have not -- and I was careful in my letter and I'll reiterate it again. We are not conditioning our consent to a continuance on the Court's granting us a carveout or with Mr. Hurley's client granting us a carveout. However, this is -- puts us in a very difficult position and we would ask for some good faith accommodation either by the plaintiff or consideration by Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, let me say this. I think on the motion that's before me today, which I agreed to hear on very short notice, Celsius has the much better part of the argument. This is essentially a motion for reconsideration and as a motion for consideration, it's a loser. Everything that you're arguing in the motion about the economics could have been made right at the time of the TRO hearing.

And -- but I wasn't deaf to what you were arguing either. I mean, I did approve the \$200,000 carveout because I thought it would at least allow -- maybe it wouldn't allow them to pay you and the other lawyers, but it would allow them to pay the other necessary expenses to keep their site operating, okay. That was my intention. And as I look at the numbers in the papers you've submitted now, it did. It

did exactly that.

MR. HURLEY: It didn't. What they submitted today shows that of the \$200,000, \$140,000 -- \$100,000 went to Locke Lord, \$40,000 went to this British law firm that's also working with them on this and \$40,000 went to the staking costs.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HURLEY: That's how they spent it. That's why they're saying there's a crisis, Your Honor, because the \$200,000 that you unlocked for them, they didn't use business expenses. They used most of it to pay lawyers and not even the lawyers working on the Israeli --

THE COURT: Here's what we're going to do.

MS. WICKOUSKI: (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Wait, wait. Stop. Stop. Either the two of you alone or with your troops are going to go out in the hall and you're going to see if you can come to some agreement. You know, I would say this, Mr. Hurley. I talked about the necessity for a lifeline to keep StakeHound operating. Its lawyers are not going to work for nothing for very long. Okay. You may poo-poo whether paying of legal fees -- if it was the -- if the tables were turned, you'd have a different view of it. Okay.

Just because of my schedule, I can't hear this until October 25th. That would give you a sufficient runway

to really seriously see if you can settle this and at the same time move forward with discovery. Okay. I think -- I'm not -- Ms. Wickouski, I'm not suggesting that you and your colleagues have not been diligent about what you're doing, but -- and I'm not -- I don't want to dive into the weeds about it today, okay.

But the notion, if correct -- and I'm not basing on this, that not a single page has been produced yet, we've got a hearing -- we've got an injunction hearing, witnesses coming up. Okay. It seems to me that your client needs to understand what the potential consequences are. I -- look, I'm not saying -- I'll rule on your motion to compel arbitration and -- but I think it's in both sides' best interest to really seriously now try and settle this matter.

And I think whether the litigation in Israel is worth everything you think it's worth or not, I'm sure, you know, lots of people are going to disagree with that, but any resolution is going to have to -- it was Celsius' coins that disappeared. So any resolution, it seems to me, has to include how you deal with that, who gets that potential claim or how it gets handled. And there may be other things as well. I mean, it does -- StakeHound does continue to have assets besides that. What I want you to do is let's take a recess until four o'clock.

MR. HURLEY: Your Honor, may I just very briefly

Page 34 1 and very directly --2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 MR. HURLEY: -- respond to a couple of points? 4 Okay. First, regarding settlement, we agree a hundred 5 percent. We're always eager to find a settlement that makes 6 sense. We're willing to do that. On mediation, provided 7 again that we proceed in parallel so everybody still is kind 8 of has the heat on them, I think that's usually helpful in 9 mediation, frankly. We're in favor of mediation. 10 In terms of the Israel litigation, anything in our 11 submissions or statements that have been interpreted as us 12 saying the claims aren't good, that certainly wasn't our 13 intention because we don't --14 THE COURT: I didn't even read it that way because 15 that's the last thing you want to do. 16 MR. HURLEY: I just want to make it clear for the 17 record in case --THE COURT: I understand. 18 19 MR. HURLEY: -- anybody ever points to this to the 20 future. We're not saying that. What we're saying is we 21 don't have enough information to conclude one way or the 22 other what the merits are. So we are -- and in terms of 23 carve outs, we've said from the very beginning, if there's a 24 fee to keep the notes that coin staked, of course. Good. 25 If we understand how you want to spend money in Israel,

Page 35 1 okay. We'll listen, but we need to have information. 2 THE COURT: Look, I --3 MR. HURLEY: That's really been our --4 THE COURT: I'm not disputing any of what you just 5 told me. Okay. 6 MR. HURLEY: And then just the last thing because 7 I know you don't want to hear about this. I'm only going to 8 say this on discovery. My concern, Your Honor, is the ship already has sailed. Like where we are now, it'd literally 9 10 be impossible for September --11 THE COURT: You might be very happy going forward 12 13 MR. HURLEY: Okay. I just want to make it clear 14 for the record. That's why we sent the letter. 15 THE COURT: If what you're telling me -- and I 16 have no reason to doubt you -- but it's also not evidence. 17 Okay. It might be the best position, but I don't know where 18 it gets you. All it gets you is a preliminary injunction. 19 I mean, it's -- you know. 20 MR. HURLEY: Understood. That's all I wanted put on the record, Your Honor. With that --21 22 THE COURT: It's not -- it's not going to put money in Celsius' pockets. It's -- okay. 23 24 MR. HURLEY: Understood. 25 THE COURT: You've got a TRO and you'd come out

Page 36 1 with it with the preliminary injunction. But Ms. Wickouski 2 may come out of it with a motion to compel arbitration. 3 Okay? I guess the arbitration's in English. Maybe you'll 4 get to do that anyway, but --5 MR. HURLEY: It is supposed to be in English. 6 Okay, so Your Honor, that's really all I wanted to put on 7 and we're happy to discuss --8 THE COURT: Why don't you --9 MR. HURLEY: -- if you like. 10 THE COURT: Here's, to me, what you've got. Try 11 to come to an agreement. And you may have to check with 12 your clients about it, but go out there and, one, see 13 whether you can to agree to move the hearing to October 25th 14 subject -- and if you're not going to agree to mediate 15 quickly, it doesn't make any sense to move the hearing. 16 We'll just go ahead. Okay? 17 And Mr. Hurley, if he believes all the bad things that he has to say, he'll prove it. Okay? But it does seem 18 19 to me, Mr. Hurley, you've got to come to some agreement. 20 I'll call it the lifeline. But the last thing, quite 21 honestly, that I think Celsius wants is to force them into 22 insolvency in a foreign country. And you should know from experience in Celsius, that that's a real risk. 23 24 MR. HURLEY: Understood. 25 THE COURT: Okay. So let's resume at four

Page 37 1 o'clock, okay? If you need more time, let me -- knock on 2 the door, chambers doors and -- okay. Are you taking your team? I want them to say hello to Mr. Lombardi. 3 MR. HURLEY: We'll leave Mr. Lombardi behind. 4 5 THE COURT: Yeah, let him -- four o'clock. 6 (Recess) 7 THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record 8 in Celsius Network Limited v. StakeHound SA, Adversary 9 Proceeding 23-01138. What do you have to report? 10 MR. HURLEY: Your Honor, for the record, Mitch 11 Hurley of Akin Gump on behalf of Celsius. So what we'd like to ask Your Honor is if you could provide a control date in 12 13 two days for this motion. The parties want to have some 14 time to share some information and see if they can come up 15 with a proposal that would obviate the need to decide the 16 motion. 17 THE COURT: This motion being Ms. Wickouski's --MR. HURLEY: Correct. 18 19 THE COURT: -- amend to the TRO. 20 MR. HURLEY: Exactly. If the parties can agree, 21 great. We'll submit, presumably, some kind of joint 22 stipulation. If we can't, then we would need the Court to decide the motion. Hopefully we can avoid that. The issue 23 involves time differences, obviously with clients in 24 25 different places, and there's some information that would

Page 38 1 be, I think really helpful for us to understand, to try and 2 reach an agreement. 3 THE COURT: What time of the day would you like to do that? So you're talking about Thursday? 4 5 MR. HURLEY: Yes. I think probably because of 6 those time changes, probably a little later in the day makes 7 sense. Would you agree, Ms. Wickouski, since your clients 8 are in --9 MS. WICKOUSKI: Yeah --10 MR. HURLEY: -- Switzerland? 11 MS. WICKOUSKI: I think that gives us the 12 opportunity to at least have the morning to talk to them. 13 THE COURT: I had trouble getting this computer working. Let me just step inside and -- or maybe 14 15 (indiscernible) copy of the calendar. Hold on. Give me a 16 minute. I'll be right -- don't get up when I come back. 17 So does Thursday -- back on the record. Does 18 Thursday at four o'clock work? We can do it by Zoom. We don't -- you don't have to come down here. 19 20 MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes, Your Honor, that's fine for 21 me, either in person or Zoom. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Hurley? 23 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor. Four o'clock 24 Thursday works. 25 THE COURT: You know, if there's -- Thursday

	Page 39
1	afternoon, I have a Circuit IT Committee meeting from 12:30
2	to 2:30. After that I'm free, so if you wanted a different
3	time, Thursday afternoon, I don't have any hearing scheduled
4	in the afternoon after the IT Committee.
5	MR. HURLEY: Whatever is convenient in that time
6	period for Your Honor. I could do anything.
7	MS. WICKOUSKI: Yes, that
8	THE COURT: Okay. So four o'clock Thursday, we'll
9	do it by Zoom so you don't have to come down here. Okay?
10	MR. HURLEY: Yes.
11	THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to
12	deal with today?
13	MR. HURLEY: Not from our perspective, Your Honor.
14	MS. WICKOUSKI: No, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Do you have any objections of Mr.
16	Lombardi stepping into chambers for a few minutes?
17	MS. WICKOUSKI: No.
18	THE COURT: I promise not to talk about the case.
19	Okay. All right, we're adjourned. Thank you very much.
20	MR. HURLEY: Thank you.
21	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
22	4:30 PM)
23	
24	
25	

Page 40 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 6 Sonya M. deslarski Hyd-7 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 23 24 25 Date: September 21, 2023

[& - ahead] Page 1

	45045		7. 07.10
&	<b>25th</b> 15:9,12	8	adjourn 25:18
<b>&amp;</b> 4:3 6:13	16:5,6 25:15	8th 10:25	adjourned
1	25:19 31:1	9	7:17 25:14
	32:25 36:13		39:19
1 9:5 12:1,12	<b>27th</b> 7:17 15:9	<b>9th</b> 15:14	adjourning
<b>10,000</b> 9:11	16:23 17:7	a	14:24
100 9:20,20	18:6 22:2	aaron 4:21	adjournment
100,000 32:3	24:22,24 28:9	abelson 5:14	7:21 18:21
10004 1:21	28:9 31:1	abided 12:10	25:11
10036 4:6	<b>2:00</b> 15:13	13:18	adv 1:4
<b>10281</b> 4:13	<b>2:30</b> 39:2	ability 30:21	adversary 3:1
<b>10th</b> 14:24	<b>2nd</b> 15:13,14	able 10:1 13:21	3:6 26:25
11501 40:23	3	18:10,10	30:14 37:8
<b>11th</b> 14:24	<b>300</b> 40:22	absence 17:8	adverse 17:1,9
15:1	<b>300,000</b> 14:1	absolutely	18:8 25:2
12 10:25	<b>330</b> 40:21	22:16	afternoon 6:6
<b>12151</b> 40:7	<b>34</b> 19:24	accommodat	6:12,18 7:25
140,000 32:3	<b>35,000</b> 29:12	14:3 31:10	39:1,3,4
<b>15th</b> 17:22	<b>38,000</b> 29:12	account 8:15	aggressively
<b>161,000</b> 11:20	4	10:21 12:12	25:25
19 1:23	-	13:25	aghast 20:20
2	40,000 32:4,5	accounts 11:15	ago 22:22
<b>2</b> 15:4 24:10	<b>4:30</b> 39:22	12:8 13:8	agree 14:11
<b>200</b> 4:12 13:8	4th 24:8	accurate 10:20	18:18,21 24:6
<b>200,000</b> 8:18	5	40:4	25:17 27:9,16
11:9,11,22	<b>5:00</b> 15:24,25	act 13:6	34:4 36:13,14
12:22 13:12	6	acting 11:1	37:20 38:7
31:20 32:3,10		actual 16:20	agreeable
<b>2023</b> 1:23	67 3:4	29:5	14:19
40:25	68 3:4	actually 8:12	agreed 10:22
<b>20th</b> 4:12	7	29:23 31:2	12:10 13:2
<b>21</b> 40:25	<b>70</b> 29:17	add 28:9	14:17,18 31:13
<b>22-10964</b> 1:3	<b>74</b> 3:10	additional 6:11	agreement
<b>23-01138</b> 1:4	<b>75</b> 3:10	14:12	32:18 36:11,19
3:1,6 37:9	<b>7th</b> 23:10	address 7:23	38:2
<b>23-1138</b> 6:3		16:18 24:1	ahead 23:3
			28:8,9 29:19

36:16	appreciate	11:3,10,11,12	basing 33:7
<b>akin</b> 4:3 6:13	10:6	12:23 13:8	basis 17:3
22:12 37:11	approve 31:20	28:4 33:23	beginning 23:7
alleges 17:7	approved	assignment	23:18 34:23
<b>allow</b> 31:21,21	13:15	28:1,2	behalf 6:7
31:22	april 23:9	associated 12:3	37:11
allowed 8:17	arbitrability	associates 19:9	belabor 24:4
14:20	21:11	19:21 21:6	belief 8:17
allowing 7:12	arbitrate 25:24	<b>assume</b> 9:3,3	believe 11:22
allows 7:21	arbitration	25:20,24 26:7	12:11 22:17
alluded 14:6	22:4 25:22	assuming 7:21	28:3
alongside	26:3,4,7 28:19	10:2	believes 20:11
23:25	28:19 33:13	assumption	36:17
amend 3:8	36:2	8:19	bench 28:13,17
37:19	arbitration's	attempt 26:20	benefit 21:9
amendment	36:3	attorneys 4:4	30:19
3:3,9	arbitrator 26:2	4:11	best 8:7 28:4
<b>amount</b> 9:6,25	26:12,21 27:11	automatic 26:5	33:13 35:17
9:25 10:2,14	arbitrators	avoid 37:23	<b>better</b> 31:14
21:7 28:2	26:17	aware 7:17	<b>bills</b> 13:21
amounts 10:14	archer 4:18	23:6	<b>bit</b> 17:20 24:4
10:20	arguing 31:17	b	blocked 15:18
analysis 9:21	31:19	<b>b</b> 2:1	<b>bold</b> 10:25
anderson 6:6	argument	<b>back</b> 15:16	11:7
andrew 4:22	28:18 31:15	23:8 37:7	<b>bowling</b> 1:20
answer 9:18	armand 4:19	38:16,17	briefly 24:1
anybody 34:19	aside 23:13	<b>bad</b> 36:17	33:25
anyway 36:4	asked 13:3	balanced 9:16	british 32:4
apologize 7:25	18:8	<b>bank</b> 8:15	bryant 4:5
appearance	asking 8:20,21	10:21 11:15	<b>bunch</b> 20:1
6:5,21	10:1,7 13:6,18	12:8,12 13:25	burdens 17:3
appeared	14:9 24:3	bankruptcy	business 29:22
26:25	asks 16:14	1:1,19 2:3 9:7	30:1,2 32:11
appearing 23:1	assess 30:15	27:2	c
appears 10:14	asset 30:18	<b>based</b> 17:1	<b>c</b> 4:1 6:1 40:1,1
22:21	assets 8:14,18	18:9	calculations
	8:24 10:3,22		9:10
			7.10

calendar 6:4	chambers 37:2	clients 17:4	concert 11:1
15:8,18,21	39:16	20:6,15 25:16	conclude 34:21
16:4 38:15	chance 10:13	27:9 36:12	concluded
call 27:16	26:13	37:24 38:7	39:21
36:20	changes 29:17	coin 34:24	conclusions
calling 6:2	38:6	<b>coins</b> 33:18	10:17
caps 10:25	chapman 4:20	colleague 6:8	conditioning
11:8	22:17	17:15 22:17	31:6
careful 31:5	characterizat	colleagues	conference
carve 34:23	17:13 24:7	22:15 33:4	24:3
carveout 12:22	charge 17:17	colodny 4:21	confirmation
13:14,15,15	<b>check</b> 36:11	<b>come</b> 15:16	15:3,13,16,23
14:1 31:7,8,20	choose 13:22	32:17 35:25	16:3
case 1:3,4 6:3	circuit 39:1	36:2,11,19	consent 31:6
9:7 18:10	<b>claim</b> 9:4 27:24	37:14 38:16,19	consented 7:19
26:15 28:1	28:3 29:22	39:9	consequences
34:17 39:18	30:3,4 33:21	<b>coming</b> 33:10	33:11
cases 26:14	claims 28:1	committee	consider 18:1
cast 30:2	34:12	39:1,4	25:5,6
<b>celsius</b> 1:8,12	clarification	compel 22:3	consideration
3:1,6 6:2,14	8:20	25:21 33:12	31:10,16
7:2 9:3,4 13:3	clear 8:5 16:9	36:2	considered
13:14 15:3,13	16:17 18:3	compelled	30:9
19:3,6 22:8,12	30:25 34:16	25:24	considers
23:6,18 25:24	35:13	competent	17:25
26:22,25 27:20	clearly 9:8	30:15	consulted
27:21 28:3,4	28:4	completely	14:10
28:22 29:3,7	clerk 6:2,10,15	17:18	continuance
30:7 31:14	6:17 7:3,5	complicated	14:10 16:14,17
33:18 35:23	clerks 22:21,22	18:14 20:5	30:23 31:6
36:21,23 37:8	23:1	<b>comply</b> 16:25	continue 23:25
37:11	<b>client</b> 8:7 13:20	19:16	33:22
<b>cent</b> 9:11	14:10 20:10,17	computer	<b>control</b> 11:4,13
certainly 16:21	21:4 25:23	38:13	37:12
26:11,20 34:12	27:16 30:19,19	concern 35:8	convenient
certified 40:3	31:7 33:10	concerned	39:5
		13:20	

[copy - dispose] Page 4

<b>copy</b> 38:15	29:14,16,19	deal 28:10	difficult 31:9
<b>correct</b> 11:18	30:5,7,9,12,25	33:20 39:12	diligent 33:4
12:6,7,9,19,25	31:12 32:7,13	dealing 20:6	diminution
12:25 21:3,20	32:15 34:2,14	dealt 28:19	10:3
33:7 37:18	34:18 35:2,4	dean 4:20	directly 17:17
costs 32:6	35:11,15,22,25	22:16	34:1
counsel 6:11	36:8,10,25	<b>debtor</b> 1:10 4:4	disagree 17:12
6:14 22:12	37:5,7,17,19	9:15 10:2	19:6,10 26:18
27:23 30:14	37:22 38:3,13	14:19	27:15 33:17
counterparty	38:22,25 39:8	<b>debtor's</b> 10:21	disappeared
27:2	39:11,15,18	14:15	33:19
country 36:22	court's 30:23	<b>decide</b> 20:10	<b>disclose</b> 30:16
40:21	31:6	21:16 28:7	disclosure 9:9
couple 8:9 14:5	courtroom	37:15,23	22:20
34:3	6:11,23 23:10	<b>deciding</b> 18:3	discovery
course 34:24	credit 9:21	declaration	16:22,25 17:8
court 1:1,19	crisis 32:9	29:24	17:9,16 18:7
7:7,12,21 8:3	critical 24:21	defendant 1:16	18:11 19:1,1
8:21 9:18 10:7	crypto 26:15	4:11	19:11,15,17
10:7,10,23	<b>culled</b> 21:4	deficiency 18:7	20:20 21:13
11:17,25 12:7	curious 19:20	delays 23:20	24:1,2,8,18
12:14,17,21	custody 11:4	delving 25:7	25:1,2,19
13:7,11,14,24	11:13	derving 23.7	28:11 33:2
14:2,5,17,22		26:4	35:8
15:2,7,12 16:2	d	demonstrated	discretionary
16:11,16,20	<b>d</b> 6:1	9:8 11:20	10:7
17:11,14,25	damages 30:15	deny 24:8	discuss 14:9,21
18:1,3,14,18	<b>date</b> 18:23	detail 8:6	36:7
18:21,23 19:4	25:13 37:12	details 19:19	discussed
19:20,23 20:4	40:25	25:7	14:12,14
20:8,15,20,23	<b>dates</b> 15:18	developer	discussion
20:25 21:18,20	david 5:10	29:24	30:20
21:24 22:1,6	day 16:5 17:24	difference 13:2	discussions
22:10,14,18,19	21:6 28:16	differences	23:7
22:25 23:6,12	29:17 38:3,6	37:24	dismiss 21:21
23:15 24:11,13	days 37:13	different 32:23	dispose 12:2
24:16,23 26:11	<b>deaf</b> 31:19	37:25 39:2	dispose 12.2
27.10,23 20.11		31.43 37.4	
Veritext Legal Solutions			

disposition	effective 23:20	exactly 32:1	fair 13:4 23:14
27:25	effort 29:2	37:20	24:3
dispute 8:14	either 12:3	exceeds 28:3	<b>faith</b> 31:9
21:11 27:10	15:8 25:6,15	except 7:15	familiar 22:18
disputes 24:18	27:10,12 28:1	exclusion	favor 34:9
disputing 35:4	28:21 30:25	18:20	fee 34:24
disregards	31:10,20 32:15	expedited 7:12	feel 16:13
24:6	38:21	17:2	19:11
district 1:2	elizabeth 5:6	expenditure	feener 4:22
20:1	emergency 3:3	9:14	fees 32:22
dive 33:5	3:8	expense 26:9	<b>feld</b> 4:3 6:13
<b>doc</b> 3:4,10	<b>english</b> 36:3,5	27:12	<b>field</b> 30:15
docket 7:19	enhancement	expenses 31:23	file 7:24
documents	10:5	32:11	<b>filed</b> 8:1,2,4
21:3,5	enjoined 11:2	expensive	17:22,23 27:2
<b>doing</b> 24:18	entered 10:8	23:21 25:25	<b>filing</b> 10:12
33:5	10:24 11:19	experience	27:18
dollars 9:5	entitled 18:9	36:23	financial 27:3
13:19 29:16	18:11 26:19	experts 30:13	<b>find</b> 16:20 25:1
door 37:2	<b>entity</b> 11:4,13	explaining	34:5
<b>doors</b> 37:2	especially	20:18	<b>findings</b> 17:1,9
<b>dot</b> 12:3	23:23 24:1	extent 9:15	18:9 25:2
<b>doubt</b> 18:5	essentially	17:25	<b>fine</b> 38:20
35:16	31:15	eyes 23:11	fireblocks
drafted 8:16	establishes	f	27:22 29:22
due 25:5	17:7	<b>f</b> 2:1 5:5 40:1	<b>firm</b> 6:9 32:4
e	<b>estate</b> 9:2,19	faced 22:1	<b>first</b> 7:8,10,11
e 2:1,1 4:1,1	eth 12:3 29:11	faces 9:16	10:8,11,13
6:1,1 40:1	29:13	13:19	16:5 21:2 23:5
eager 34:5	evaluated 30:9	fact 11:23 14:6	24:5 25:13
earlier 16:4	evaluates 30:7	17:6 21:8 25:5	26:24 34:4
early 7:25	everybody	26:14	flippant 19:24
economics	27:12 34:7	<b>factor</b> 9:25	<b>floor</b> 4:12
31:17	evidence 16:24	facts 16:20	<b>force</b> 36:21
ecro 2:5	28:10 35:16	24:7	foregoing 40:3
effect 9:1	evidentiary	failure 16:24	foreign 20:6
	15:24	25:1	36:22

[format - hope] Page 6

	1		1
format 8:8	given 21:7	green 1:20	15:15,22,24
<b>former</b> 22:21	<b>gives</b> 38:11	gregory 5:5	16:3 17:1,6
22:22 23:1	glenn 2:2	<b>guess</b> 7:9 36:3	18:23 25:14,18
forward 15:8	<b>go</b> 7:9 14:20	<b>gum</b> 30:17	27:19 28:12
16:9,23 18:6	16:9,23 17:6	<b>gump</b> 4:3 6:13	31:18 33:9,9
24:22,24 25:19	18:6,12 23:2	22:12 37:11	36:13,15 39:3
26:7 33:2	24:22,24 27:11	h	hearings 15:24
35:11	28:8,9 29:7,19	half 9:5	<b>heat</b> 34:8
<b>four</b> 19:9 33:24	31:2 32:16	hall 32:17	heck 19:12
36:25 37:5	36:12,16	hand 6:19 8:13	<b>hello</b> 37:3
38:18,23 39:8	goes 11:5 15:8	handled 33:21	helpful 34:8
frankly 21:7	26:7	hands 6:19	38:1
29:6 34:9	<b>going</b> 6:23,24	hang 10:23	hershey 5:1
free 11:14 28:6	7:21 10:11	happen 25:17	<b>high</b> 27:6
39:2	13:6,23 15:4	25:20 26:8,14	history 23:15
freeze 10:15,22	15:15,17 16:25	28:6	<b>hmm</b> 15:6 16:1
11:11,23 12:17	17:20 21:4	happened 27:1	20:3,7
13:2	23:7,8 24:24	happened 27.1 happens 17:4	<b>hold</b> 15:20
friday 8:3	25:2,25 26:1,8	happy 35:11	38:15
17:22	26:8 27:11,17	36:7	hon 2:2
<b>full</b> 11:21	28:6,10,15,20	haqqani 4:24	honestly 36:21
<b>fully</b> 21:14	28:21,22 32:13	hard 19:8 27:4	<b>honor</b> 7:11,19
function 6:19	32:16,17,20	harm 9:14,15	13:6,16 14:8
6:20	33:17,18 35:7	9:16	14:20 15:1,10
further 18:12	35:11,22 36:14	harrison 4:25	16:8 17:10
31:2	<b>good</b> 6:6,12	hauer 4:3 6:13	18:25 19:18
<b>future</b> 34:20	22:25 27:7,8	he'll 36:18	21:22 22:5,9
g	31:9 34:12,24	hear 10:12	22:11,24 23:4
<b>g</b> 6:1	gorrepati 4:23	15:11 19:19	24:21 31:11
generated 8:13	gotten 8:11	31:13 32:24	32:9 33:25
8:13	20:4 24:9	35:7	35:8,21 36:6
genius 9:10	<b>grant</b> 13:24	heard 15:22	37:10,12 38:20
getting 20:17	granting 3:4	24:5	38:23 39:6,13
20:18 38:13	3:10 31:7,7	hearing 3:1,3,6	39:14
give 6:4,20 7:7	grants 14:2	3:8 6:25 7:13	<b>hope</b> 14:19
7:8 32:25	<b>great</b> 27:12	7:17 11:5,10	25:20
38:15	37:21	14:4 15:3,13	
30.13	77 '4 47		

hopefully	importance	insolvency	it's 35:22
37:23	17:8 18:19	27:4,18 36:22	j
hundred 34:4	important	instantly 26:8	jasmine 4:19
hurley 4:8 6:12	23:24	intend 19:7	jeffrey 5:2 6:8
6:12,16 7:2	impossible	intended 8:21	17:15
10:11 11:22,24	35:10	13:5	<b>joined</b> 6:22
14:14,23 15:1	inadequacies	intention 13:7	joint 37:21
15:3 16:18,24	28:11	19:17 31:24	jonathan 2:5
17:7,21 18:24	inadequacy	34:13	judge 2:3 7:5
22:8,9,11,11	25:1	interest 27:13	19:25
22:16 23:3,4	inclined 13:24	28:5 29:7	judgment 9:4
23:14,17 24:12	include 17:23	33:14	jumping 10:17
24:15,20 26:18	28:1 33:20	interesting	k
26:24 27:15	including 8:2	8:10	
29:11,15,17	8:14	interpreted	kaila 5:12
32:2,8,18	incomings 8:2	34:11	keen 23:6,18
33:25 34:3,16	incorrectly	introduce	keep 27:17
34:19 35:3,6	11:22	22:14	31:23 32:19
35:13,20,24	incremental	involved 8:23	34:24
36:5,9,17,19	14:1	involves 37:24	keith 5:11
36:24 37:4,10	indiscernible	irreparable	key 29:10
37:11,18,20	16:24 20:23	9:14	<b>kind</b> 34:7
38:5,10,22,23	29:24 30:18	israel 27:24	37:21
39:5,10,13,20	32:14 38:15	28:6 29:6	knew 17:2
hurley's 7:18	individual	33:15 34:10,25	knock 37:1
8:2 14:9 30:19	20:11	israeli 32:12	know 7:6 8:25
31:7	information	issue 17:21	9:17,18 10:8
<b>hybrid</b> 3:3,8	34:21 35:1	18:12 21:11	10:16 11:7
<b>hyde</b> 3:25 40:3	37:14,25	22:7 26:12	12:13 14:1,15
40:8	injunction	37:23	15:2,17,20,23
i	11:5 17:5 22:3	issued 26:9	16:18,19,20,22
illustrate 13:19	25:3,14 26:12	<b>issues</b> 16:21	19:13,25 20:5
immediately	27:19 28:17	19:2,7 25:10	20:8,11,21,25
14:10 21:12	33:9 35:18	25:11 26:16	21:7,10 24:25
29:2	36:1	28:20	25:8,20 26:1,9
impact 8:23	inside 38:14	it'd 35:9	26:14,16,18,20
impact 0.23			26:24 27:1,2

	1	T	
27:19,23,25	likely 10:3,4	losing 29:9	mean 16:3,9
28:2,18 29:3,5	limited 1:12	loss 27:21	18:9 19:9,23
32:18 33:17	3:2,7 6:3 37:8	29:21	26:2 31:2,20
35:7,17,19	<b>linger</b> 28:14	<b>lost</b> 8:11 29:9	33:22 35:19
36:22 38:25	<b>liquid</b> 29:25	<b>lot</b> 9:20,22,23	meant 24:16
knowledge	listen 35:1	19:12 20:17	measures
12:11	literally 35:9	23:8 28:19	26:13
<b>knows</b> 7:19	litigating 28:23	29:1	mediate 36:14
kramer 5:2 6:9	28:23	<b>lots</b> 33:17	mediation 14:7
17:16	litigation 6:13	luke 5:7	14:13 22:8
l	12:4 22:12	m	23:5,19,22
language 12:1	27:8 33:15	<b>m</b> 3:1	25:17 30:21
12:7 13:3	34:10	made 20:13	34:6,9,9
lateness 7:25	<b>little</b> 17:20	26:18 30:25	mediator 14:21
law 19:24	38:6	31:18	23:23 27:9
22:21,22 23:1	<b>llc</b> 1:8	<b>major</b> 30:1	meeting 39:1
32:4	<b>llp</b> 4:3,10	make 17:1,9	merits 34:22
lawyers 20:4	locke 4:10 6:7	18:3,8 22:20	<b>mg</b> 1:3,4 3:6
20:24 29:4,5	6:9 32:4	23:2,17 25:2	michael 5:8
31:22 32:11,12	lombardi 5:3	26:20,21 27:7	million 9:5,5
32:20	22:17,21,24	27:8 34:16	9:20,20 12:12
leave 12:1 20:9	37:3,4 39:16	35:13 36:15	29:17
28:22 37:4	<b>long</b> 19:14	makes 23:23	mineola 40:23
ledanski 3:25	28:24 31:3	29:1 34:5 38:6	<b>minute</b> 38:16
40:3,8	32:21	making 18:6	minutes 39:16
legal 21:2	longer 7:22	martin 2:2	mira 4:24
32:22 40:20	look 19:24	mary 4:15	miscast 17:18
letter 8:2,3	24:11 31:24	mary 4.13 mason 5:4	<b>mister</b> 18:24
14:9,23 16:21	33:11 35:2	material 9:12	<b>mitch</b> 6:12
17:22,25 24:2	looked 16:4	9:22 10:1 18:7	22:11 37:10
24:9,14,16,17	23:11	25:1	mitchell 4:8
31:5 35:14	looking 25:23	math 9:10	<b>mm</b> 15:6 16:1
letters 18:4	<b>lord</b> 4:10 6:7,9	matic 12:3	20:3,7
25:6	32:4	matter 1:6	<b>mode</b> 19:8
lifeline 27:17	lose 28:21	29:5,7 33:14	<b>model</b> 29:25
32:19 36:20	loser 31:16	matters 16:5	modification
32.17 30.20		28:7	7:15
		10.1.	

modify 8:21	needle 9:7	38:23 39:8	<b>once</b> 7:18
moment 7:8	needs 21:8	oath 24:25	operating 20:9
24:19	33:10	objected 13:14	27:17 31:24
monday 15:14	neglected	objections	32:20
money 8:22	17:23	39:15	operations
9:20,22,23	negotiated	obligations	9:17
11:9,14 13:23	11:24	20:4	operative
34:25 35:23	<b>network</b> 1:8,12	obviate 37:15	10:24
<b>money's</b> 11:9	3:1,6 6:2 37:8	obvious 21:12	opinions 20:1
<b>month</b> 15:19	new 1:2,21 4:6	21:16	opportunities
<b>months</b> 15:21	4:13 20:1	obviously	30:2
27:11	nicholas 5:3	14:11 24:2	opportunity
morning 8:4	22:23	30:22 37:24	12:5 18:1
16:5 38:12	nick 22:17	occur 11:19	38:12
<b>motion</b> 3:3,4,8	<b>night</b> 21:6	occurred 27:21	opposing 30:14
3:8 7:13,16	<b>noon</b> 15:22	october 14:24	opposition 8:4
17:23 21:21	<b>note</b> 8:25 17:21	15:3,9,12 16:6	<b>order</b> 3:3,9
22:2,3 25:21	<b>notes</b> 34:24	25:14,18 31:1	8:16 10:24
31:13,15,16,17	notice 12:5	32:25 36:13	15:19 26:3
33:12 36:2	31:14	okay 6:21 7:3,5	orders 19:14
37:13,16,17,23	noticeable 9:1	10:23 12:21	original 29:24
motions 21:17	9:13	15:17 16:2	originally
<b>move</b> 9:7 16:6	notion 33:7	17:14 18:4,14	21:10
33:2 36:13,15	notwithstand	22:6 23:2,4,14	outcome 11:5
n	11:8	24:7,9,15 25:7	outs 34:23
<b>n</b> 4:1 6:1 40:1	november	25:10,13 26:1	owe 9:19
necessarily	15:20 31:3	26:17 28:8,13	own 20:9
28:13	number 6:3	28:16,16 30:5	р
necessary	8:1 16:7	31:24 32:21,23	<b>p</b> 4:1,1 5:1 6:1
13:21 20:18	numbers 7:20	33:2,6,10 34:4	page 10:25
21:16 31:23	8:5 9:8 31:25	35:1,5,13,17	24:9 25:9 33:8
necessity 32:19	<b>ny</b> 1:21 4:6,13	35:23 36:3,6	paid 10:15
need 7:20 8:22	40:23	36:16,18,25	27:24
21:12 27:16	0	37:1,2 39:8,9	palissery 5:4
35:1 37:1,15	o 2:1 6:1 40:1	39:19	pall 30:2
37:22 39:11	o'clock 33:24	<b>old</b> 40:21	pan 30.2 papers 31:25
31.22 37.11	37:1,5 38:18		papers 31.23
	· ·	val Calutiana	

paragraph	<b>pi</b> 11:10	practical 14:22	proceeded 8:18
12:1 24:10	pick 13:22	practiced	proceeding 3:1
parallel 23:25	pin 17:20	19:24	3:6 27:1,5 28:5
34:7	place 7:14	practicing	37:9
park 4:5	places 37:25	19:14	proceedings
part 10:24 13:1	plaintiff 1:13	precautionary	23:25 39:21
31:14	4:4 18:9 31:10	26:13	40:4
participating	<b>plan</b> 14:7	preclusion	process 19:15
19:11,12	player 30:1	19:14	20:21 21:2
particularly	please 6:4 7:7	preliminary	26:23 30:21
16:14 20:5	22:10	11:2,5 17:5	produced
<b>parties</b> 6:17,22	<b>pm</b> 1:24 39:22	22:3 25:3	20:12 25:9,9
37:13,20	pockets 35:23	26:12 27:18	33:8
partner 22:16	podium 22:9	28:16 35:18	prohibited
<b>past</b> 23:15	<b>point</b> 8:11,20	36:1	11:2
<b>pay</b> 13:21,22	17:16 18:6	prepared	promise 39:18
31:22,23 32:11	21:5 23:17	14:16	promptly
paying 32:21	24:20 26:10	present 4:17	25:17 27:9
pending 11:4	30:17	8:8	<b>proof</b> 24:25
21:17	<b>points</b> 34:3,19	presented 8:22	25:6
pennies 9:19	<b>poo</b> 32:21,21	preservation	properly 10:9
penny 29:4	position 19:17	10:4	property 11:3
people 21:1	27:3 29:3 31:9	press 27:3	11:12 12:5
33:17	35:17	presumably	proposal 37:15
percent 34:5	positioned	37:21	propounded
period 7:22	30:1	pretty 10:18	19:1
39:6	possession	primarily 8:14	prospect 14:12
permit 24:24	11:3,13	<b>prior</b> 26:25	<b>prove</b> 18:10
permitted 11:9	possibility	private 29:10	36:18
<b>person</b> 11:4,13	26:11	probably 21:9	provide 25:2
17:16 38:21	possible 16:3	28:17 38:5,6	37:12
persons 11:1	26:2 29:7,8	problem 14:22	provided 29:11
perspective	potential 33:11	procedure	34:6
23:22,24 39:13	33:20	24:18	pursue 14:13
pesce 5:5	potentially	proceed 6:25	pursued 21:13
philip 5:14	28:23	34:7	pursuing 27:24

[push - rule] Page 11

1 05 10	146041214	40.4	1 10 10
push 25:19	14:6 24:13,14	40:4	respond 10:13
put 18:11	24:16 26:14	recording 6:21	34:3
23:13 24:25	27:3 34:14	recover 28:5	responded
25:18 28:10	reading 10:9	recovered 9:6	16:22
29:1 35:20,22	ready 7:2,4	10:2	responding
36:6	16:9,11	recovery 9:2	21:13
<b>puts</b> 17:3 31:8	real 25:11	28:2	response 14:15
putting 17:20	30:18 36:23	refers 11:22	17:24 18:2,5
q	realistic 24:22	regard 24:25	responses
quantify 9:1	realistically	regarding 34:4	28:11
question 10:10	16:2	reiterate 31:5	responsible
13:4 21:15,18	reality 25:15	related 12:13	27:21
24:21	realize 17:19	12:14	rest 15:20
questions	realized 7:24	relative 9:13	result 9:11
10:11,18 14:5	really 7:20 9:1	relevant 19:2	10:3,4 23:20
quibble 21:8	21:8,15,16	20:12 22:7	26:3
quickly 29:7	30:20 33:1,14	relief 10:6	results 9:5
36:15	35:3 36:6 38:1	13:24 14:2	<b>resume</b> 15:16
quite 17:3	reason 22:19	26:19	36:25
36:20	35:16	remains 23:19	revenue 8:12
	reasonably	report 37:9	reviewing 15:8
r	16:25 18:8	representing	rewards 12:3
r 2:1 4:1 6:1	recently 28:20	16:15	12:20 29:12
40:1	29:18	request 7:15	rick 4:18
raise 6:19,19	recess 33:24	7:18,19 21:19	<b>right</b> 6:2,15,17
13:25 14:22	37:6	21:19	7:8 9:22,23
raised 10:16,17	recognized	required 20:13	11:25 14:20
16:21 18:4	30:14	rescheduled	16:4 21:24
25:10	recommended	22:2	23:23 24:19
raises 25:11	14:11	resolution	29:19 31:18
range 29:13	reconsiderati	33:18,19	32:7 37:7
rather 10:16	31:15	resolve 24:18	38:16 39:11,19
reach 38:2	record 6:18,24	27:10	risk 20:9 36:23
react 19:16	6:25 22:13	resolved 29:2	road 28:25
30:24	23:2,8 34:17	respect 7:14	40:21
<b>read</b> 8:16	35:14,21 37:7	13:25 17:25	rule 26:13
10:11 13:17	37:10 38:17	23:5,19 25:5	28:13,13,17

[rule - started] Page 12

33:12	33:1 36:12	share 37:14	special 6:13
ruling 26:10	37:14	ship 35:8	22:12
run 13:23	seeing 8:8	<b>short</b> 31:14	specific 8:5
runway 32:25	seem 36:18	shortly 17:22	specifically
S	seemed 10:16	showing 26:19	8:22,23
s 3:7,9 4:1 5:2	seems 27:5	26:21 29:8	spend 10:1
6:1	33:10,19	shows 8:11	11:9 34:25
sa 1:15 3:2 6:3	seen 21:10	32:3	<b>spent</b> 12:23
6:8 37:8	selection 14:21	<b>shut</b> 9:17	20:17 32:8
sailed 35:9	sell 12:2	side's 27:13	spoliation 20:2
samuel 5:1	send 24:2	sides 21:9	staggering
samuel 3.1 saw 7:18 14:9	sending 19:21	25:25 27:6	10:16
saw 7.18 14.9 saying 12:22	sense 13:20	33:13	staked 34:24
16:19 19:4,23	23:23 27:7,8	signature 40:7	stakehound
24:23 25:4	29:1 34:6	single 25:9	1:15 3:2,7,9
28:20,21 29:3	36:15 38:7	26:2 33:8	6:3,8 8:15,24
32:9 33:12	sent 8:3 17:24	site 31:23	9:2,16 10:4,14
34:12,20,20	35:14	six 22:24,24	10:25 11:1,8
says 12:1 16:19	sentence 11:7	<b>size</b> 9:13	11:21 12:2,24
24:9	24:10	slow 23:21	16:25 17:9
schedule 15:22	september	solutions 40:20	18:8 27:17,20
15:24 30:23	1:23 10:25	somewhat 7:22	27:20 28:5
32:24	15:9 17:22	sonya 3:25	29:22,23 32:19
scheduled	23:10 24:8	40:3,8	33:22 37:8
15:15 17:2	31:1 35:10	sooner 16:4	stakehound's
39:3	40:25	<b>sorry</b> 15:10	11:3,12 27:3
scheduling	serious 10:18	<b>sort</b> 10:12	28:4,11,18
15:21,23 22:7	16:21	<b>sought</b> 19:14	stakes 27:5
24:21 25:11	seriously 17:3	sources 7:24	staking 29:25
scope 13:5	19:16 33:1,14	8:9 11:20	32:6
scope 13.3 scott 5:6	served 24:8	southern 1:2	stand 7:9
scott 5.0 sean 4:22	sets 10:12	20:1	standpoint
sean 4:22 searches 20:12	settle 23:11	spangler 5:7	26:22
searches 20.12 seated 7:7	28:25 33:1,14	speak 17:17	stanley 5:8
seated /:/ second 10:23	settlement 23:7	speaking 6:18	<b>start</b> 26:4
	27:19,25 34:4	6:23,25	started 24:23
see 22:25 23:11	34:5		
27:23 32:17		ral Calutions	

	38:4		
17:21 31:25	talking 25:16	29:12,23 30:3	29:16
submitted 7:16	talked 32:19	27:15 29:3,6	translated
<b>submit</b> 37:21	39:18	26:18,24 27:12	translate 9:19
34:11	23:15 38:12	23:22 24:3,21	11:2,12
submissions	talk 14:16	21:7 22:6 23:5	transferring
36:14	33:24	17:24 19:1,7	12:2 13:8
12:4,5,15,16	19:15 26:1	14:6,23,23,24	transfer 11:14
11:10,11 12:4	take 15:17	11:14 13:4	transcript 40:4
subject 8:18	tables 32:22	10:19,20,20,22	3:25
stuff 24:2	t 40:1,1	8:11 9:13,24	transcribed
19:10		think 7:14 8:10	town 15:5
strongly 17:12	t	36:17	touch 8:17
strong 29:8	28:23 38:10	28:14 33:21	told 25:13 35:5
strikes 27:25	19:21 27:5	8:19 23:21	tokens 12:3,20
street 4:12	switzerland	things 7:9 8:9	33:6 39:12
6:13	surprised 19:4	36:20	31:13 32:2
strauss 4:3	33:16 34:2	34:15 35:6	14:16 23:12,16
stopping 27:8	28:15,25 30:7	thing 7:15 8:25	today 13:18
32:15,15	23:2 26:22	22:11 39:19,20	39:3,5
stop 24:13	sure 19:2,6	6:16 7:5,11,12	37:24 38:3,6
37:22	supposed 36:5	thank 6:10,15	33:2 37:1,14
11:25 12:18	suppose 13:1	21:11 34:10,22	28:24 31:18
stipulation	sullivan 5:9	11:8 13:4	26:1,9,24 27:2
stepping 39:16	suite 40:22	terms 7:13	21:2,9 22:20
6:7	19:10	telling 35:15	19:14 20:17
stephanie 4:15	suggestion	tell 15:7	14:13 15:17
step 38:14	14:2 33:3	4:17	time 7:16,22
staying 25:19	suggesting	telephonically	39:3,8
stay 26:5	14:24 18:24	29:25	38:17,18,24,25
status 29:5	suggested	technology	thursday 38:4
20:21	27:16 32:25	tech 21:1	threat 25:4
states 1:1,19	sufficient	team 37:3	28:18 31:21
34:11	25:21	taylor 4:25	thought 8:7
statements	successful	21:10	38:1,5,11
statement 9:9	26:19 30:3	targeted 19:2	34:8 36:21
stated 8:4	substantial	tanzila 5:13	33:2,13,15,16
starts 15:3,13	32:2	talks 20:11	30:20,22 31:12

translation	<b>united</b> 1:1,19	W	13:16 14:8,18
8:12	20:21	wait 32:15,15	15:6,10 16:1,8
trial 15:20 31:3	universe 20:19	waiting 7:1	16:13 17:10,12
<b>tro</b> 3:4,10 7:15	21:5	want 7:9,12	17:15 18:13,16
10:8,15,23,24	unlocked 32:10	10:8 14:3,8	18:19,22,25
11:8,19 12:21	unmute 6:20	18:11 22:14	19:6,22 20:3,7
13:3,4 26:9	unreasonable	23:2 25:7 27:4	20:14,16,22,24
31:18 35:25	17:3 29:4	27:23 28:8	21:1,19,22,25
37:19	<b>update</b> 7:8,13	29:20 30:16	22:5 24:5
<b>troops</b> 32:16	7:20	33:5,23 34:15	25:21 29:9,19
trouble 38:13	use 14:12	34:16,25 35:7	29:21 30:6,8
true 40:4	32:10	35:13 37:3,13	30:11,13 31:4
<b>try</b> 7:22 23:7	used 8:8 10:15	wanted 7:22	32:14 33:3
27:9 33:14	13:25 32:11	13:18 35:20	36:1 38:7,9,11
36:10 38:1	uses 7:25 8:9	36:6 39:2	38:20 39:7,14
trying 19:8	11:20	wants 19:18	39:17
23:17 29:2	using 6:19	36:21	wickouski's
turetsky 5:10	usually 34:8	warrants	24:17 37:17
<b>turned</b> 32:22	utilized 10:21	30:20	willing 34:6
turning 21:3	11:21,21	way 8:16 23:8	win 28:21
two 13:11	V	25:18 28:22	wind 27:4
15:21 32:16	v 1:14 3:2,7 6:3	34:14,21	wins 9:4
37:13	37:8	we've 8:22	withdrawn
u	vacate 26:4	15:21 18:25	21:21
<b>u.s.</b> 2:3 21:2	valuable 29:13	33:8,9 34:23	withdrew
uday 4:23	29:15	wednesday	21:23
under 8:19	vastly 28:3	15:9,12 16:6	withstanding
24:25	vendors 13:22	weeds 33:6	21:17
understand	veritext 40:20	week 15:4,14	witness 12:11
13:16 17:10	vesey 4:12	16:10 21:23	witnesses 33:9
18:16,16 24:17	view 22:8	went 32:3,4,5	wofford 5:11
24:20 33:11	27:20 32:23	wickouski 4:15	work 15:2 19:7
34:18,25 38:1	vince 5:9	6:4,6,7 7:4,9	32:20 38:18
understood	violated 26:5	7:11 9:24	working 21:6
16:8 35:20,24	voluntary	10:19 11:16,18	32:5,12 38:14
36:24	10:15 11:23	12:6,9,16,19	works 38:24
	12:15 13:2	12:25 13:9,13	
	77 '4 4 T	I	I.

[worth - zoom] Page 15

<b>worth</b> 11:10
33:16,16
wrapper 30:18
wreak 9:14
written 20:1
30:17
X

1:5,11,17

y

**yeah** 18:22 22:10 37:5 38:9 **years** 19:24 22:22,24,24 yesterday 24:10 york 1:2,21 4:6 4:13 20:2

 $\mathbf{Z}$ 

zaharis 5:12 **zomo** 5:13 **zoom** 6:17,19 6:24 38:18,21 39:9